





HEALTH | EDUCATION | SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Building education systems through projectized assistance

Mary Joy Pigozzi, PhD

1 July, 2010

Background

- Projects remain a means for supporting the right to education in fragile situations
- They may have been in place before the crisis erupted
- They often are a very quick way of ensuring additional funding on the ground
- They can be a technical response to particular political objectives





This presentation

- Experience of one organization in
- Six countries
- In two continents
- Over at least two decades
- With one donor--USAID

Based on research and a paper by Dr. Felix Alvarado





Purposes

- To share observations
- To share lessons learned
- To show advantages and challenges inherent in a project-based approach
- To learn from others
- . . . In an attempt to improve education on the ground through appropriate funding mechanisms





The six countries

- El Salvador (1994)
- Guatemala (1974)
- Honduras (early1980s education 1986)
- Nicaragua (1993)
- Ethiopia (1995)
- Namibia (2000)
- El Salvador, Guatemala, Nicaragua, and Ethiopia have all experienced internal conflict; Honduras and, to a certain extent, Ethiopia, regional conflict; Namibia conflict from an external force; Honduras suffered more than the rest of the Central American countries from Hurricane Mitch in 1998; and Ethiopia frequently experiences very severe drought





Project aid can be effective when:

- There is strong and consistent MOE leadership (El Salvador, Guatemala, Namibia)
- Education is part of the long-term discussion about and plan for national development (El Salvador, Ethiopia)
- There is good multi-stakeholder dialogue based on education data and information (El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Namibia)
- Project objectives are carefully aligned (and may need to be re-aligned) with MOE and national objectives (El Salvador, Ethiopia, Namibia)





Project aid can be effective when:

- The overarching objective is to help build an education system whether from the "center" (El Salvador) or from the "bottom up" (Honduras, Nicaragua, Ethiopia)
- There is a need for initial coordination and "institutional memory" to be "carried" by an external partner for some period of time (Guatemala, Nicaragua)
- Projects provide a "protected" environment for much needed innovations to grow that would not survive otherwise
- Projects enable the quick injection of important technical expertise in a timely fashion





Challenges presented by project approach:

- May not sufficiently acknowledge the national agenda or the necessity of allowing this to be set—in spite of project timelines
- Assistance may be too closely tied to political objectives of the country providing the support
- Funding may not be predictable
- Funding cycle may be very different from others in operation

The last two of these making a systemic approach to building an education system very difficult





Challenges presented by project approach:

- Lack of alignment means that countries do not always lead
- Continuity of policy and practice may rely on donor continuity
- Key projects may be re-oriented to short-term objectives and lose long-term direction
- Transaction costs
- Potential for duplication—wastage
- Scatter gram approach—lack of coherence





Additional roles of implementer

- Builder of bridges among multiple stakeholders
- Mediating role between different mechanisms of two governments
- Technical continuity over time and space
- "Bridge" from the donor across changes in national leadership
- Assistance with building trust relationships





THANK YOU!

www.aed.org

